

**2018 CoC Competition
Supplemental Application, Threshold, and Narratives
Renewal Project Applications**

This form and requested attachments are **due no later than close of business, Friday, August 3, 2018.** Please send documents in PDF format to ROCC Consultant/Coordinator Jo Zimmer at jozimmer@comcast.net.

LATE APPLICATIONS WILL RECEIVE ZERO POINTS and won't be included in the CoC's 2018 consolidated application/project priority listing.

Agency Name			
Agency Type		___ Non-profit ___ Public Housing Authority ___ Other Unit of Local Government ___ State Government	
Address			
Mailing Address (if different)			
Primary Contact Name		Secondary Contact Name	
Primary Contact Phone		Secondary Contact Phone	
Primary Contact Email		Secondary Contact Email	
Finance Contact Name		HMIS Contact Name	
Finance Contact Phone		HMIS Contact Phone	
Finance Contact Email		HMIS Contact Email	
Project Name:			
Type of Application: _____ Renewal _____ New/Reallocated _____ Coordinated Entry			
Total Grant Amount Requested: \$			

<u>Supplemental Documentation</u>	
From eLOCCS, provide: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Printout(s) showing last two grant close-outs (final balances). ▪ Printout(s) showing current grant draws 	Top 5 identified gaps/needs in local community if CAA, this information should come from '17-'19 CSBG MGA
List of ServicePoint provider numbers associated with this project	SPDAT (EE) demo v.00
NOTE: HMIS Admin will pull APRs for all projects within the timeframe: April 1, 2017, through March 31, 2018 (consistent with prior years).	

Agency Director

Date

CoC Program Manager

Date

CoC Staff / Application Writer

Date

Threshold Requirements

In addition to scoring criteria, all renewal projects must meet threshold criteria which will be reviewed prior to R&R. To be scored in the 2018 competition, renewal projects must meet thresholds outlined below.

Please check each box to confirm each of the following is true:

	<p>Project has full and active HMIS participation, indicated by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Every HMIS user of the project has completed required training and is active. • Coordinated Entry participation (via SPDAT reporting).
	<p>Project meets HUD threshold requirements for renewal projects including that the project has none of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outstanding obligation to HUD in arrears or for which payment schedule has not been agreed upon; • Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory; • History of inadequate financial management accounting practices; • Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award; • History of other major capacity issues that have significantly impacted the operation of the project and its performance; • History of not reimbursing sub-recipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; and • History of serving ineligible persons, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.

If you are unable to check one of the lines above, please provide an explanation (no more than one page).

SCORING FACTOR: OUTCOMES

Factors 1A-1E

Applicants may, but are not required to, supplement the information contained in HMIS data regarding the program’s successes in the past operating year. If the program will not score perfectly on any scoring factors, additional information about that factor can be included here (e.g. specific challenges, population served, services provided, program structure, etc.) (No more than one page, please). Regarding 1E: *if your program is currently serving participants who are ineligible for non-cash benefits, please provide the total number of adults served and the number of ineligible participants; performance measure score will be adjusted accordingly.*

If you think your project may underperform in scoring factors 1C, 1D, and 1E, documentation may be provided in the form of letter stating how many PSH clients were served in the reporting timeframe and how many were/are in the application process re: SSI/SSDI; provide relevant HMIS client ID numbers for review and confirmation.

SCORING FACTOR: AGENCY/COLLABORATIVE CAPACITY

Factor 3A Are the following HEARTH required policies and procedures in place?

Yes	No	N/A	Policies
			Conflict of interest
			For agency conflicts
			For individual conflicts
			Homeless person participation
			In policy making bodies
			In project operations
			Faith based activities
			Equal treatment of program participants
			Separation of explicitly religious activities
			Fair housing
			Non-discrimination and equal opportunity
			Affirmatively furthers fair housing
			Accessibility for disabled persons
			Age and gender of a child under age 18 must not be used as a basis for denying any family's admission to a project

Factor 3B Are there any unresolved HUD monitoring findings or concerns or outstanding HUD audit findings related to this project?

_____ **Yes** _____ **No**

Has HUD instituted any sanctions on the project, including, but not limited to, suspending disbursements (e.g. freezing LOCCS), requiring repayment of grant funds, or de-obligating grant funds due to performance issues?

_____ **Yes** _____ **No**

If yes to either A or B, please attach the written communications between HUD and the agency and describe the issue and status here, including the extent to which the Collaborative Applicant has been advised (no more than one page).

Factor 3C In the most recent grant year, what was the total amount expended of HUD CoC funds for this grant? e-LOCCS printouts will provide this information for last two completed grants and to-date on current).

Factor 3D Do project/agency align with and support CoC priorities, including through: performance goals, CoC participation (meeting attendance, etc.), participation in Coordinated Entry or other factors? This score is based on the overall application, but a brief essay should also be submitted demonstrating CoC alignment (no more than one page).

Factor 3E Do project/agency align with Housing First principles, including: prioritization of the most vulnerable participants, low- or no-entry barriers, and voluntary participation in support services? This score is based on the overall application, but a brief essay should also be submitted demonstrating CoC alignment (no more than one page).

Factor 3F Do project/agency align with Coordinated Entry standards, including: prioritization of the most vulnerable participants through approved SPDAT and VAT tools, creation and maintenance of a master or by-name list, etc.? A brief essay may also be submitted demonstrating status of local Coordinated Entry system; however, NO CES participation will result in zero (0) points.